

From: "Young, Greg/SAC" <GYoung@ch2m.com>
To: Kate Hansel <hanselk@water.ca.gov>
Subject: Response to E-mail comment
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 1999 13:32:31 -0700
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2448.0)

Kate,

As an afterthought, I figured I should give you a copy of my response to an e-mail I recently received (the e-mail was also sent to Marti Kie). Marti said she gave a copy of the e-mail to Robin to potentially log in for formal comments. If so, my response should be noted.

Here is my response, followed by the original message:

Thank you for your recent e-mail. You are correct in noting that the CALFED Program is trying to encourage more water transfers as one of several water management measures. The water transfer program is intended to allow for the voluntary sale of water to the environment or to other water users.

However, CALFED is also including storage as a very important element in statewide water management. Both surface and subsurface storage is included in the draft preferred alternative now being publicly reviewed. Starting on page 79 in the Revised Phase II Report (December 18, 1998), which can be viewed at http://calfed.ca.gov/current_pubs.html, discusses the storage element of the Program.

Please feel free to call us at CALFED to discuss any of your comments or concerns (916/657-2666).

Greg Young

-----Original Message-----

From: CHIEF_P [SMTP:CHIEF_P@prodigy.net]
Sent: Sunday, February 07, 1999 4:33 PM
To: mkie@water.ca.gov; gyoung@ch2m.com
Subject: Fw: NEW WATER STORAGE

> From: CHIEF_P <CHIEF_P@prodigy.net>
> To: <mailto:mkie@water.ca.gov>; <mailto:gyoung@ch2m.com>
> Cc: jeff derry <jderry@brawleyonline.com>
> Subject: NEW WATER STORAGE
> Date: Sunday, February 07, 1999 1:26 PM
>

> I was recently browsing through the CALFED Website and was somewhat

*Robin's
- No other
response
needed
Kate*

> astonished to find that with our current water problems we have no plans
> for future storage sites. No new reservoirs, no real plans to replenish
> the SJ/SAC Aquifers. But there are avenues for the transfer of water
from
> one area to another.
>
> I really don't understand the philosophy of taking from the north and
> giving to the south. It has always been a controversial issue.
What I
do
> see in this policy is a whole lot of people making a whole lot of money
on
> the transfer of water through agencies like the MWD.
>
> I feel that before we start transferring MORE water out of the already
> troubled San Joaquin and Sacramento Delta regions, we had better look at
> ways to replenish that supply. Sure we can allow this to happen for now,
> and a whole lot of people will make a whole lot of money. But what are
we
> leaving for generations to come?
>
> We need to look at ways to minimize the numbers of people who are
are
> trying to manage our water supplies and start spending that money
on
> developing more storage areas. Most importantly we need to learn that we
> can't keep "Robbing Peter to pay Paul" with our water. Let's try
to
solve
> the problem and save the taxpayers a few dollars.
>
>
> F. PASCUA

Greg Young
CH2M HILL, Sacramento, CA
gyoung@ch2m.com

916/920-0300

916/653-8400 (CALFED Project Office)